SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, DECEMBER 30, 2005 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S012279

PEOPLE v. LUCAS (DAVID A.) Extension of time granted

to January 17, 2006 to file the respondent's brief. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General William M. Wood's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by January 16, 2006.

S027264

PEOPLE v. FRIEND (JACK W.) Extension of time granted

to February 27, 2006 to file the appellant's reply brief. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Evan Young's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by July 2006.

S056765

PEOPLE v. PARSON (RICHARD R.) Extension of time granted

to February 28, 2006 to file the appellant's reply brief. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel Pamala Sayasane's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by August 31, 2006.

S056891

PEOPLE v. THOMPSON (JAMES) Extension of time granted

to March 1, 2006 to file the respondent's brief. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Melissa Mandel's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by August 31, 2006.

PEOPLE v. BECERRA (FRANK K)

Extension of time granted

to February 24, 2006 to file the appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S065720

PEOPLE v. VINES (SEAN VENYETTE)

Extension of time granted

to December 30, 2005 to file the respondent's brief. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Michael Dolida's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by December 30, 2005.

S070536

PEOPLE v. MACIEL (LUIS)

Extension of time granted

to February 22, 2006 to file the appellant's opening brief. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated. Extension is granted based upon counsel Melissa Hill's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by December 15, 2006.

S078895

PEOPLE v. SIVONGXXAY (VAENE)

Extension of time granted

to March 6, 2006 to file the appellant's opening brief.

S079925

PEOPLE v. MORA & RANGEL

Extension of time granted

to March 6, 2006 to file appellant's opening brief.

PEOPLE v. WILSON (LESTER)

Extension of time granted

to February 21, 2006 to file the appellant's reply

brief.

S122097

NAVARETTE (MARTIN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to January 26, 2006 to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General James William Bilderback II's representation that he anticipates filing that document by February 25, 2006.

S130457

D044209 Fourth Appellate District,

Division One

J. (OLIVIA) IN RE

Extension of time granted

on application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file an answer to the amicus curiae brief is extended to January 13, 2006.

S130668

HATFIELD (JOEY WAYNE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to January 26, 2006 to file the informal

response.

No further extensions are contemplated.

S130809

TROTTER (STACY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to January 30, 2006 to file petitioner's reply to informal response.

C039617 Third Appellate District

LONICKI v. SUTTER HEALTH CENTRAL

Extension of time granted

to January 18, 2006 to file appellant's reply brief on the merits.

S133114

H027483 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. KELLY

Extension of time granted

to January 6, 2006 to file respondent's answer brief on the merits.

S133687

D043697 Fourth Appellate District, Division One

SHIRK v. VISTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Extension of time granted

on application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to and including February 3, 2006.

S134962

HART (JOSEPH) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to January 30, 2006 to file the informal response. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Pamela A. Ratner's representation that she anticipates filing that document by January 29, 2006.

S136461

COLLINS (SCOTT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to January 27, 2006 to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 60 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Theresa A. Patterson's representation that she anticipates filing that document by March 25, 2006.

C044964 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SCOTT

Extension of time granted

to February 7, 2006 to file appellant's opening

brief on the merits.

S137238

B165756 Second Appellate District,

Division Three

ALAN v. AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR COMPANY

Extension of time granted

to January 3, 2006 to file appellant's opening

brief on the merits.

S029476

PEOPLE v. BOYER (RICHARD DELMER)

Order filed

Appellant's motion for leave to file a supplemental brief in excess of 2800 words is

granted.

S139800

THOMAS v. HAWKINS (DIRECTOR OF

CORRECTIONS)

Transferred to CA 5

for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the

repetitious petition must be denied.

S139945

THOMAS v. LOS ANGELES POLICE

Transferred to CA 2

for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the court of appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

THOMAS v. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR HOSPITAL Transferred to CA 2

for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S139970

ENRIQUEZ v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Transferred to CA 4/2

for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S139973

THOMAS v. S.C. (STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA) Transferred to CA 2

for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.